IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4289 OF 2005
IN
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.21231 OF 2005

.....

OFFICE NOTES, OFFICE MEMORANDUM OF CORAM, APPEARANCES, COURT'S ORDERS OR DIRECTIONS AND REGISTRAR'S ORDERS	COURT'S OR JUDGES ORDERS

.....

Ms Archana B. Kaloji for the Applicant.
Shri H.G. Misar for the Respondent No.2.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : JULY 24, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the Advocate appearing for the Respondent No.2. Application is strongly opposed by the Advocate appearing for the Respondent No.2. Considering the averments made in the Application and considering the fact that Appeal is by a claimant in a Petition under section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, delay deserves to be condoned. Accordingly, rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

JUDGE